Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	ımarv	10/682,562		EVANS, GARY	A.
Examiner-initated interview Sum	iiai y	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Brian M. Healy		2883	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on:	<del>_</del>	
(1) <u>Brian M. Healy</u> .		(3)			
(2) Mr. Theodore Fay, attorney of record.		(4)			
Date of Interview: 28 March 2006		Time: <u>3PM</u>			
	☐ Applica ] No	nt's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 112 rejection					
Claims discussed: 2,7,10,13,16					
Prior art documents discussed: NONE					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate re	examiner will provide ecord of the substance	a writte of the i	n summary of t nterview, since	the substance
BRIAN HEALY					
PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 234 2883					
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representa	ative Sig	nature – if app	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. fay to talk about a potential 112 rejection because the body of the claim did not include any mention of a seimconductor laser material. The examiner suggested the language on the "Examiner's Amendment" to correct this possible rejection. (See EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT for specific details...

BRIAN HEALY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 251 2983